

Interior Energy Project (“IEP”) Commercial Process & Roles

- Letters of Interest (“LOI”) solicited and responses received back – January 2013
- Legislation, policy basis – Thru April 2013
- Technical review – Thru April 2013
 - Concept of LNG plant on the North Slope with trucking to the Interior via the Dalton Highway determined to be technically viable
 - Work conducted by HDR and MEI in conjunction with AIDEA IEP Technical Team
 - Analysis of options & recommended architecture for overall system design balancing:
 - LNG plant technology and capacity
 - Trucking capacity
 - FNSB storage and regasification capacity
- SB 23 signed into law by Governor Parnell – May 29, 2013
- June 2013 AIDEA issues Request for Proposals (“RFP”) based on technical review and using the financial tools made available by SB 23
- Responses to RFP – July 19, 2013
- Parallel negotiations & analysis of proposals – Ongoing from July thru early November
- IEP Review and Analysis Team
 - AIDEA Development Finance and Infrastructure Divisions
 - AEA
 - Technical: HDR / MEI
 - Legal / regulatory: Alaska Department of Law, Birch Horton, Bracewell & Giuliani
 - Finance / commercial: Western Financial Group (“WFG”)
- WFG’s background
 - Long term financial advisor to AIDEA on project development and bonds
 - Project finance & Public Private Partnerships (“PPP”)
 - Developer / project sponsor
 - Advisor (both public & private side)
 - Investor representative
 - Institutional investor
 - Utility finance
 - Executive
 - Advisor – “ab initio” and ongoing
 - Focus
 - Completing difficult, complex transactions successfully



SB 23 / IEP Overview

- SB 23 Objectives
 - Natural gas for FNSB space-heating customers at “burner-tip” [at the meter] prices ~40-50% below fuel oil
 - Long-term assured supply for preferred customers
 - LNG for Interior Alaska electric utilities
 - LNG for Interior Alaska industrial uses
 - Propane for rural areas
- SB 23 Funding Structure
 - \$57.5mm capital appropriation
 - \$125mm SETS Loan
 - 3.00%
 - 30 years
 - Ability to defer principal & interest for reasonable period of time
 - \$150mm AIDEA Bonds
 - State credit support
 - Market rate terms and conditions
- IEP Program Elements (LNG, trucking, Dist.)
 - Gas supply source
 - LNG plant
 - Trucks
 - Storage and regasification in FNSB
 - Heating Distribution utilities
 - Electric utilities
 - Industrial customers in the Interior
- Preferred Customers
 - FNSB natural gas utilities
 - FNSB electric utilities
 - Regulated utilities outside the FNSB
 - Industrial customers in the FNSB
- LNG Plant & System Basics
 - Site on the North Slope
 - Lease, design, layout, permitting, etc.
 - Pad
 - Pipeline and connection to Fuel Gas system
 - Maintenance shops, camp, etc.



- Power generation
- Raw gas processing (“amine”) module(s)
- Liquefaction “trains”
- On-site storage
- Truck loading facilities

Business / Financial Objectives

- How business & financial structures achieve SB23 Objectives
 - Minimum plant requirements
 - Commitment to distribution system buildout with an all-requirements agreement
 - Transparency
 - Effectively leverage State / AIDEA financing with use of private capital
 - Customer priorities
 - Return limits / pricing restrictions
 - Other business “covenants”
 - \$20mm minimum project equity contribution by potential private party participants
 - FNSB distribution gas heating system build-out commitments by utilities
- Prudent & effective business structure
 - Project financing characteristics
 - Governance and management
 - Managed technical and construction risk
 - Credit-worthiness / balance sheet commitment
 - Large, proven markets
 - Term-matched commitments
 - Balanced risk allocation
 - Encompassing all program elements
 - Gas supply source
 - LNG plant
 - Trucks
 - Storage and regasification in FNSB
 - Distribution utility
 - Electric utility
 - Industrial customers
 - North Slope LNG Plant
 - Many project finance characteristics
 - Full system: resource supply to final customer
 - Considerable challenges
 - Unproven FNSB natural gas heating market



- Pricing, customer priority objectives that limit return
- Alternative Gas Supply that could reach the community
- IEP = project financing with State / AIDEA financial & business support

Technical Fundamentals

- Pentex, MWH, GVEA are essentially the same type of plant in design and configuration – electric-drive, nitrogen-refrigerant process
- Spectrum plant – direct-drive, mixed-refrigerant presents lowest capital cost, but a different operating profile
- HDR / MEI analysis favors nitrogen (Pentex/MWH/GVEA) over mixed-refrigerant (Spectrum)
- AIDEA IEP Technical Team conclusions:
 - Site issues close enough to not be a factor
 - All plant proposals can meet AIDEA / AEA system requirements

Key Elements of Final Term Sheets

GVEA

- GVEA is willing to purchase gas (on negotiated terms) from any sponsor:
 - “Depending upon an agreed upon fixed price that is commercially reasonable, GVEA envisions being able to commit to purchasing between 1.5 to 2.5 BCF of gas annually.”
- Not tied to any proposal by a particular company or group
- GVEA willing to assist in any way it can, including:
 - Assigning rights to engineering work product on a North Slope LNG plant
 - Assign its rights in a North Slope site
 - Consent to the project using GVEA’s 20-year gas supply contract
 - Contributing project management and grant administration expertise
 - Participate in project development and facility operation

Spectrum

- Business structure oriented to distributing LNG beyond FNSB, with AIDEA securing lower cost gas supply and committed demand in FNSB
- Spectrum proposes to sell processing of LNG – each customer would secure their own gas supply contract and the Spectrum plant will process that gas for a fee
- Completion risk covered, and construction financing, provided by Quanta
- AIDEA financing only required after plant completion
- Willing to transfer Spectrum site and permits to project for a fee of \$2.85mm (plus

- reimbursement of Spectrum expenses, including a \$2,500 per day “management fee”, with a 15% margin added)
- Lowest capital cost estimate for construction of LNG plant
- Spectrum Term Sheet includes a request for Break-up fee of \$2.25mm (plus reimbursement of Spectrum expenses with a 15% margin added)
- Spectrum would also claim 20,000 gpd of NGSP capacity at preferred customer prices in the event of “break-up”
- Spectrum expects AIDEA to take all demand risk
 - Proposes that Spectrum could “put” one of two LNG trains to AIDEA if demand doesn’t meet projections after 5 years
 - Spectrum would be given a \$72 million credit on the then outstanding balance of the SETS loan at Spectrum’s option
- Proposed equity return on Spectrum capital is highest of the proposers (~25%)
- Interim management fee payments before completion and right to use AIDEA funds before using Spectrum capital

MWH

- Financial investor plus owner’s engineer and project manager, with terms closer to pure project financing structure
- All agreements 30 year term
- Phased construction with initial capacity matched to initial demand
- Investor would provide letter of credit to support commitment to fund additional phases
- Term sheet capital and operating costs are highest of proposers – using AIDEA’s highest cost estimate from Feasibility Study since no independent work done on capital plan
- Mid-range proposed equity return (12-15%)
- MWH proposes highest potential private capital commitment - \$85mm
- MWH term sheet includes AIDEA commitment of \$10mm capital appropriation to GVEA storage and regasification facility in North Pole (linked to GVEA commitment to take-or-pay purchase of LNG)

Pentex

- Pentex plan focused first on LNG supply for FNSB LDC and electric utility, with planned sideline in transportation fuel
- Pentex term sheet integrates LNG business structure with FNG distribution system in FNSB
- Pentex structure includes commitments to take demand risk:
 - FNG LNG purchase commitment
 - FNG distribution system build-out commitment
 - No “escape clauses” except Alternative Gas Supply
- Pentex proposes AIDEA financing for FNG distribution system expansion, in parallel with Pentex commitment to build-out the system



- Assumes an “economic regulation” structure similar to RCA
- Pentex proposed equity return lowest of the options at 12.4%
- Pentex expects to raise any funds beyond \$15mm proposed new cash equity in the capital markets and proposes a 12/31/14 deadline for funding.
- Other \$5mm equity proposed to be already expended funds for well-advanced, LNG plant design and engineering